

Step 2 Student Sustainability Committee Voting Meeting
Re_Home, December 9, 2017

Student Attendees: Nick Heyek, Ashley Yu, MJ Oviatt, Rebecca Ambresh, Yishen Ye, Jack Javer, Rebecca Laurent, Bryan Parthum, Olivia Yu, Ece Gulkipik, Justin Vozzo

Faculty/Staff Attendees: Joseph Youakim, Morgan White, Julie Cidell,, Ximing Cai, Cathy Liebowitz

Total Request at Step 2 only: \$850,674
Step 2 spending goal: \$575,000-650,000
Scores measured from 0-3

Applications:

1. Trash to Treasure
 - a. Overall avg score: 1.4
 - b. **Decline** projects: unanimous
2. Bevier Hall Occupancy Sensors
 - a. Avg score: 2.5
 - b. If payback not within 10 yrs, revolving fund is not a possibility
 - c. **Approve** project: unanimous
3. Classroom LED Upgrades
 - a. Avg score: 2.5
 - b. **Approve** project: unanimous
4. Freezer Challenge
 - a. Avg score: 2.4
 - b. Lots of student involvement
 - c. **Approve** project: unanimous
5. ISFP Handwashing Station
 - a. Avg score: 2.4
 - b. Could this sink be used in other parts of the university?
 - c. The pilot plant should have more student outreach (i.e. tours)
 - d. **Approve** project: unanimous
6. E2E Paradigm for Food Waste to Biofuel and Biomaterial
 - a. Avg score: 2
 - b. Graduate and undergraduates
 - c. "Seem legit"
 - d. Long term impact, can go to a larger scale
 - e. **Approve** project: unanimous
7. Baler for Plastics
 - a. Avg score: 2
 - b. More funding from SSC needed, most likely
 - c. Money used for consultant fee in this application, preliminary engineering

- d. DCE Grant could be used to fund baler when installation is ready, would need to get some from other places and the university too
 - e. **Approve:**11 Abstain: 2
- 8. SSF Tractor
 - a. Avg Score: 1.9
 - b. Half of the money will come from dining services
 - c. **Approve:** 10 Abstain: 2
- 9. South Campus Lighting
 - a. Avg Score: 1.9
 - b. Where is the sustainability aspect of this? Should we fund more lighting (using more energy)? Could the applicants get money from this from other places on campus? Campus safety/Lighting committee should get funding from provost office, what does “environment” mean to SSC
 - c. **Decline** funding: 7, Opposed to decline: 3, Abstain: 1
- 10. Corncrete
 - a. Avg score: 1.9
 - b. Approve: 10, Abstain: 2
- 11. What are you walking on?
 - a. Avg score: 1.7
 - b. Educational, but not near lots of students (not on Quad or well-accessed area)
 - c. Decline funding: 9, Opposed to Declining: 1, Abstain: 1
- 12. Sustainable Art and Design Exhibition
 - a. Avg Score: 1.7
 - b. Can't fund some parts of budget
 - c. Contingent: can't buy food
 - d. Approve: 9, Oppose: 2
- 13. Solar at the Garden
 - a. Avg score: 1.6
 - b. Approve: 9, Oppose: 1, Abstain: 1
- 14. Get Mugged
 - a. Avg Score: 1.7
 - b. Give out mugs, will they be used? Probably better to give out in the fall
 - c. SECS basically created SSC
 - d. Decline projects: 7, Opposed: 1, Abstain: 2
- 15. Special Needs Goat Enrichment
 - a. Avg. Score: 1.3
 - b. Decline project: 8, Opposed to decline: 1, Abstain: 1
- 16. ISFP Extrusion Coating
 - a. Avg Score: 2.2
 - b. Budget has increased a lot
 - c. Approval: 8, Opposed: 1, Abstain: 2
- 17. ISFP Pumpkin Puree
 - a. Avg Score:2.3

- b. Move to defer: 5, opposed: 6
 - c. Approve: 7, oppose: 1, Abstain: 3
- 18. ISFP Torilla
 - a. Avg Score: 2.3
 - b. Approval: 10, Abstain: 1